1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ARTHUR L. JOHNSON,	Case No. 5:17-cv-07133-BLF
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
13	v.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S
14		OPPOSITION
15	SCOTT KERNAN,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Defendant S. Kernan filed an administrative motion seeking an extension of time, up to and	
19	including April 29, 2019, to file a response to Plaintiff's opposition, if any, to Defendant's motion	
20	to dismiss. The Court has read and considered Defendant's motion and the accompanying	
21	supporting declaration of counsel, and finds that good cause exists to GRANT Defendant's	
22	motion. Defendant will file a reply to Plaintiff's opposition by April 29, 2019, or fourteen days	
23	after Plaintiff files an opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss, whichever is later.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: March 6, 2019	Ben Laly heenen
2627		The Honorable Beth Labson Freeman
28		
ں∠	1	